

2019-209E
 "Response" 295704

Duke, Daphne

From: Weston Adams <weston.adams@nelsonmullins.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 1, 2020 1:23 PM
To: Stark, David
Cc: chad.burgess@dominionenergy.com; matthew.gissendanner@dominionenergy.com; Nelson, Jeff; Grube-Lybarker, Carri; richard@rlwhitt.law; Jamey Goldin google; Belton T. Zeigler; seaton@spilmanlaw.com; dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com; cgrundmann@spilmanlaw.com; Court Walsh; Hall, Roger; Pittman, Jenny; PSC_Contact
Subject: [External] Re: 2020-209-E Proceeding

2019

David:

Sorry for our delay in responding. Johnson Development has no objection to a paper proceeding in this Docket. Thanks-Weston

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 1, 2020, at 11:07 AM, Stark, David <david.stark@psc.sc.gov> wrote:

◀External Email▶ - From: david.stark@psc.sc.gov

Parties:

I am writing to you regarding Docket No. 2020-209-E; South Carolina Energy Freedom Act (House Bill 3659) Proceeding Related to Dominion Energy South Carolina, Incorporated and S.C. Code Ann. Section 58-41-30 Related to Electrical Utilities and Their Current Voluntary Renewable Energy Program, and Such Other Proceedings Required By the Commission.

The Commission has received communications that the Company, ORS, the SBA, and Walmart do not object to the use of a paper proceeding in this docket. However, there has been no indication or communications on this matter from Johnson Development. If you would all be so kind, would each party indicate if they object or do not object to the use of a paper proceeding in this docket?

Regards,

David Stark
 Attorney
 South Carolina Public Service Commission
 david.stark@psc.sc.gov

Nelson Mullins is continuing to monitor developments related to COVID-19, including guidance from the Centers for Disease Control and various health officials; and federal, state, and local government authorities. The firm has implemented precautionary measures and plans to ensure the continuation of all firm services to clients from both in office and remote work arrangements across our 25 geographically disperse offices. [Click here to visit the Nelson Mullins Coronavirus Resources page.](#) Information described therein is subject to change.

Confidentiality Notice

This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately either by phone (800-237-2000) or reply to this e-mail and delete all copies of this message.

Duke, Daphne

From: Richard Whitt <richard@rlwhitt.law>
Sent: Tuesday, December 1, 2020 1:58 PM
To: Stark, David
Cc: chad.burgess@dominionenergy.com; matthew.gissendanner@dominionenergy.com; Nelson, Jeff; Grube-Lybarker, Carri; Jamey Goldin google; Belton T. Zeigler; seaton@spilmanlaw.com; dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com; cgrundmann@spilmanlaw.com; court.walsh@nelsonmullins.com; Weston Adams; Hall, Roger; Pittman, Jenny; PSC_Contact; Carrie Schurg
Subject: [External] 2019-209-E Proceeding

David:

No objection by the South Carolina Solar Business Alliance, Inc.

Regards,
 Richard Whitt,
 As Counsel for the SCSBA.

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 1, 2020, at 11:07 AM, Stark, David <david.stark@psc.sc.gov> wrote:

Parties:

I am writing to you regarding Docket No. 2020-209-E; South Carolina Energy Freedom Act (House Bill 3659) Proceeding Related to Dominion Energy South Carolina, Incorporated and S.C. Code Ann. Section 58-41-30 Related to Electrical Utilities and Their Current Voluntary Renewable Energy Program, and Such Other Proceedings Required By the Commission.

The Commission has received communications that the Company, ORS, the SBA, and Walmart do not object to the use of a paper proceeding in this docket. However, there has been no indication or communications on this matter from Johnson Development. If you would all be so kind, would each party indicate if they object or do not object to the use of a paper proceeding in this docket?

Regards,

David Stark
 Attorney
 South Carolina Public Service Commission
 david.stark@psc.sc.gov